

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Michael L. Shoen, a resident of Arizona,) No. CV09-1548 PHX DGC

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

12 Richard Symons, a resident of Great
13 Britain, et al.,

14 Defendants.

ORDER

15 On today's date, Defendants moved for dismissal, arguing that the Court should
16 decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claim. Doc. 67.
17 The contract at issue in this case was the basis for a permanent injunction issued by this
18 Court in a related case, and Defendants have not shown a non-existent federal interest in
19 adjudicating the contract claim. Moreover, Plaintiff's complaint alleges diversity as an
20 alternative basis for jurisdiction.

21 **IT IS ORDERED** that the motion to dismiss (Doc. 67) is denied.

22 DATED this 22nd day of August, 2011.

23
24 

25
26

David G. Campbell
United States District Judge
27
28